UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/568,537	02/17/2006	Jun Fujikami	017700-0182	4615
23392 FOLEY & LAR	7590 02/10/200 RDNER	EXAMINER		
2029 CENTUR	Y PARK EAST	TRINH, MINH N		
	SUITE 3500 LOS ANGELES, CA 90067			PAPER NUMBER
			3729	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/10/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/568,537	FUJIKAMI ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Minh Trinh	3729			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>25 N</u>	ovember 2008				
-	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
٥/ك	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
	·	parte Quayre, 1000 0.2. 11, 10	0.0.210.			
Disposit	ion of Claims					
-	Claim(s) <u>1-3 and 5-11</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)🛛	Claim(s) <u>6-11</u> is/are allowed.					
6)🖂	Claim(s) <u>1,3 and 5</u> is/are rejected.					
7)🛛	Claim(s) <u>2</u> is/are objected to.					
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
•	10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
<i>,</i> —	Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
	-	priority under 25 H S.C. \$ 110(a)	(d) or (f)			
	2) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a)	a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) A) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Discrete of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/568,537 Page 2

Art Unit: 3729

DETAILED ACTION

1. The amendment filed on 11/25/08 has been considered but is ineffective to overcome the cited reference.

2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claim Objections

3. Claim 6-11 are objected to because of the following informalities:

it is unclear as to what being referring as" k-th rolling step" (see claim 6, the k-th rolling step); "said wire a n times" (in claim 6, the k-th rolling step), respectively, is not clear and perhaps is confusing. Appropriate correction is required.

4. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Okayama et al.

Okayama et al discloses substantially the method of making super conductive wire comprising:

drawing a wire formed by coating raw material powder for a superconductor with a metal, rolling said wire after said step of drawing, and sintering said wire after said step of rolling, and where the temperature not less than 80 degrees C.(see col. 11, lines 65-67). Regarding the holding said wire under a reduced-pressure atmosphere in at

Art Unit: 3729

least one of an interval between said step of drawing and said step of rolling and an interval between said step of rolling and said step of sintering. It would have been an obvious matter of design choice to incorporated the above onto the Okayama et al invention of since applicant has not disclosed that these features are critical, patentably distinguishing features and it appears that the invention would perform equally well with the conventional pressure setting as taught by Okayama et al reference (see Fig. 2).

5. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Okayama et al in view of Meyer et al (5043320)

Regarding claim 3, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to choose any desired pressures, and the holding hours such as that as recited in claim 3 since applicant has not disclosed that these features are critical, patentably distinguishing features and it appears that the invention would perform equally well with the teaching as taught by the prior art reference (see Meyer et al. discussion at col. 8, lines 25-29 for the teaching of pressure range).

6. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Okayama et al in view of Meyer et al and further in view of Yamauchi et al (4906609).

Okayama et al or of Meyer et al as modified and applied above does not teach the holding in Nitrogen atmosphere. Yamauchi discloses such (see the discussion at col. 4, lines 60-68 for the teaching of holding the wire in a Nitrogen gas). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill n the art at the time of the

Art Unit: 3729

invention was made to employ the Yamauchi' teaching as described above onto the invention of Okayama et al or of Meyer et al in order to form a super conductive wire having desired final shape and size, etc., by utilizing the available sintering and /or annealing process.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 3 and 5 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim 2 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 6-11 appear to be allowable over the references if reformulating to overcome the objection above.

Interview after a final rejection

Applicants note that an interview after a final rejection will not be granted unless the intended purpose and content of the interview in presented briefly, in writing (the agenda of the interview must be in writing). Such an interview may be granted if the examiner is convinced that disposal or clarification for appeal may be accomplished with only nominal further consideration. Interviews merely to restate arguments of record or to discuss new limitations which would require more than nominal reconsideration or new search will be denied. See MPEP 714.13 and 713.09.

Application/Control Number: 10/568,537 Page 5

Art Unit: 3729

Conclusion

8. It is noted that any amendment made to the disclosure and the claims. Applicant requires to point out the support provide numeral references to the claimed limitations as well as support in the disclosure (i.e., page and line numbers and reference number associated with from the drawings) for better clarity (See 37CFR 1.111 and section 2163.06 of the MPEP).

9. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

10.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Minh Trinh whose telephone number is (571) 272-4569. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday -Thursday 8:00 am to 4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Bryant can be reached on (571) 272-4526. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Minh Trinh/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3729

mt 2/7/09